

Transgenic plants and their applications

The genetic manipulation of plant protoplasts by direct gene transfer has clearly come of age after a long gestation period. The major limiting factor to the practical application of gene transfer is that protoplasts isolated from many crop species are recalcitrant to regeneration. However, the great successes achieved in the past five years in the field of gene transfer remain as boosters for the future. Some success stories related to the production of transgenic plants are described below.

The major successes have been achieved in the transfer of:

1. Genes for herbicide tolerance.
2. Insect tolerant genes.
3. Coat protein genes for cross protection.
4. Genes responsible for certain antisense RNA and
5. Reporter genes.

1. Transfer of genes for herbicide tolerance

Success has been made in the incorporation of genes conferring tolerance to herbicides. The transgenic plants thus produced show expression of foreign genes resulting in a higher level of herbicide tolerance. The best example is the work of **Shah** and his co-workers. In 1986, they isolated a cDNA clone encoding an enzyme 5-enolpyruvyl-shikimate phosphate (EPSP) synthase from a glyphosate tolerant *Petunia hybrida* cell line. This cell line over produced the enzyme to the tune of 20 times more. The chimeric EPSP synthase gene was constructed with the use of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35 promoter and introduced into the non-tolerant *Petunia* cell lines. The calli from transformed cell lines showed tolerance to glyphosate and the plants regenerated from the calli showed tolerance to the herbicide whereas the control plants died after spraying the herbicide.

In 1987, **De Block** and his co-workers transferred a gene conferring resistance to bialaphos and phosphinotricin called bar gene isolated from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus*, into tobacco, tomato and potato. This gene encodes for an enzyme, phosphinotricin acetyltransferase which prevents toxicity due to phosphinotricin. This gene has been transferred to tobacco, tomato and potato with the help of 35 S promoter of CaMV. The transformed plants showed high levels of resistance against field dose applications of the bialaphos and phosphinotricin. These results, pave the way to engineer resistance to various herbicides into major crops.

2. Expression of Insect tolerance in transgenic plants

Bacillus thuringiensis is a bacterium that produces proteinaceous crystals during sporulation. These crystal proteins have insecticidal properties especially to Lepidopteran insects. The use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a microbial insecticide offers advantages over chemical control agents in that the species-specific action of its insecticidal crystal proteins (ICPs) makes it harmless to non-target insects, to vertebrates, to the environment and the user. In 1987, **Fischhoff** and his colleagues constructed chimeric genes containing the CaMV 35 S promoter and the *B. thuringiensis* crystal protein coding sequences. The cloned *B. thuringiensis* gene has been introduced into tomato and tobacco and the transgenic plants thus produced show an increased level of resistance to Lepidopteran insects. The larvae fed on transgenic plants were killed within 48 hours and there was little evidence of feeding damage to leaves of transformants. Thus the introduction of toxin genes into plants seems to be a practical approach for providing protection against certain insect pests.

3. Expression of coat protein genes for virus protection

In agriculture cross-protection is a common practice to protect the plants from viruses and the coat protein of viruses have an important role in systemic cross protection. **Abel** and his coworkers introduced a chimeric gene containing a cloned cDNA of the coat protein (CP) gene of TMV into tobacco cells on a Ti plasmid of *A. tumefaciens* from which tumour inducing genes have been removed. Plants regenerated from transformed cells expressed TMV mRNA and CP as a nuclear trait. Seedlings from self fertilized transgenic plants were inoculated with TMV and observed for development of disease symptoms. The seedlings that expressed the CP gene showed delayed symptom development and 10-60 per cent of the transgenic plants failed to develop symptoms. This approach would be useful to develop lines with resistance to viruses where resistant varieties have been difficult to develop through conventional plant breeding.

4. Expression of Antisense RNA in transgenic plants

Antisense RNA is a occurs naturally in several organisms to control gene expression. It can inhibit expression of a gene by preventing ribosome binding, obstructing transport of mRNA from nucleus, and increasing mRNA degradation. **Rottstein** and his co-workers in 1987 demonstrated the inhibition of the expression of the nopaline synthase (NOS) gene in tobacco. The transgenic plant having the NOS gene was transferred with a NOS antisense gene construct with CaMV 35 S promoter. The transformed plants were analysed for NOS

activity and the enzyme activity varied depending on the tissue used. This mechanism can be a viable tool if the plants are transformed with antisense genes for the expression of various undesirable characters.

5. Expression of Reporter genes in plants

Though several selectable markers and reporter genes are available for studying gene expression, studies are in progress to develop a simple and viable system for studying gene expression. The luciferase gene from firefly (*Photinus pyralis*) is a novel tool for this purpose. This gene encodes an enzyme that catalyses the light producing ATP-dependent oxidation of luciferin. In 1986, **Ow** and his co-workers introduced the luciferase gene into tobacco. The transgenic plants showed the expression of the luciferase gene by producing light when watered with the substrate luciferin. This reporter gene system provides a simple tool for rapid screening of large numbers of transgenic plants.

Points to be considered in all gene transfer programmes

1. Effective systems for the selection of transformed cells are essential when more complex traits are handled.
2. Expression of a particular gene in the transformed cell depends on its position in the host genome. This warrants further studies on the position effects of transferred genes and their expression.
3. Though efficient gene transfer methods are available the major remaining barrier is the limited range of plants that can be regenerated from transformable cells. So efficient regeneration systems for the crop species is a must for successful gene transfer.
4. The regulatory mechanism involved in the supply of particular substances required for expression of a particular gene should be explored i.e. whether the supply of the substance is automatically improved because of the new gene or whether other genes involved in the synthesis of the substance have to be amplified.
5. The mechanisms involved in the regulation of particular substances for improved gene expression should be explored.
6. The introduction of new genes into plant cells will require that there be new enzymes which were not present in the cells before. In this case, alterations in the entire plants metabolism should thoroughly be studied.
7. Loss of expression of the alien gene can also happen after time. Studies should be done to know about the stable expression and inheritance of the gene concerned.

8. Before attempting a gene transfer, the molecular aspects of the gene concerned should be explored and the need for the gene and its desirability can be assessed.

9. The problems associated with the stable expression of a foreign gene in crop plants should be examined. This will facilitate finding ways and means to alter the temporal and spatial expression of the particular gene.

Applications of transgenic plants


Genetic engineering and GM crops

Over the last 30 years, the field of genetic engineering has developed rapidly due to the greater understanding of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) as the chemical double helix code from which genes are made. The term genetic engineering is used to describe the process by which the genetic makeup of an organism can be altered using “recombinant DNA technology.” This involves the use of laboratory tools to insert, alter, or cut out pieces of DNA that contain one or more genes of interest.

Developing plant varieties expressing good agronomic characteristics is the ultimate goal of plant breeders. With conventional plant breeding, however, there is little or no guarantee of obtaining any particular gene combination from the millions of crosses generated. Undesirable genes can be transferred along with desirable genes; or, while one desirable gene is gained, another is lost because the genes of both parents are mixed together and re-assorted more or less randomly in the offspring. These problems limit the improvements that plant breeders can achieve.

In contrast, genetic engineering allows the direct transfer of one or just a few genes of interest, between either closely or distantly related organisms to obtain the desired agronomic trait (Figure 1). Not all genetic engineering techniques involve inserting DNA from other organisms. Plants may also be modified by removing or switching off their own particular genes.

Figure 1: Comparing conventional breeding and genetic engineering (The dots represent genes, with white representing the gene of interest)



Source: ISAAA Mentor’s Kit, 2003.

Table 1: Conventional Breeding vs. Genetic Engineering	
Conventional Breeding	Genetic Engineering
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited to exchanges between the same or very closely related species • Little or no guarantee of any particular gene combination from the million of crosses generated • Undesirable genes can be transferred along with desirable genes • Takes a long time to achieve desired results 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allows the direct transfer of one or just a few genes, between either closely or distantly related organisms • Crop improvement can be achieved in a shorter time compared to conventional breeding • Allows plants to be modified by removing or switching off particular genes

Source: ISAAA Mentor’s Kit, 2003.

“Genes are molecules of DNA that code for distinct traits or characteristics. For instance, a particular gene sequence is responsible for the color of a flower or a plant’s ability to fight a disease or thrive in extreme environment.”

Nature’s own genetic engineer

The “sharing” of DNA among living forms is well documented as a natural phenomenon. For thousands of years, genes have moved from one organism to another. For example, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, a soil bacterium known as ‘nature’s own genetic engineer’, has the natural ability to genetically engineer plants. It causes crown gall disease in a wide range of broad-leaved plants, such as apple, pear, peach, cherry, almond, raspberry, and roses. The disease gains its name from the large tumor-like swellings (galls) that typically occur at the crown of the plant, just above soil level. Basically, the bacterium transfers part


of its DNA to the plant, and this DNA integrates into the plant's genome, causing the production of tumors and associated changes in plant metabolism.

Application of genetic engineering in crop production

Genetic engineering techniques are used only when all other techniques have been exhausted, i.e. when the trait to be introduced is not present in the germplasm of the crop; the trait is very difficult to improve by conventional breeding methods; and when it will take a very long time to introduce and/or improve such trait in the crop by conventional breeding methods (see Figure 2). Crops developed through genetic engineering are commonly known as transgenic crops or genetically modified (GM) crops.

Modern plant breeding is a multi-disciplinary and coordinated process where a large number of tools and elements of conventional breeding techniques, bioinformatics, molecular genetics, molecular biology, and genetic engineering are utilized and integrated.

Figure 2: Modern Plant Breeding



Source: DANIDA, 2002.

Development of transgenic crops

Although there are many diverse and complex techniques involved in genetic engineering, its basic principles are reasonably simple. There are five major steps in the development of a genetically engineered crop. But for every step, it is very important to know the biochemical and physiological mechanisms of action, regulation of gene expression, and

safety of the gene and the gene product to be utilized. Even before a genetically engineered crop is made available for commercial use, it has to pass through rigorous safety and risk assessment procedures.

The first step is the extraction of DNA from the organism known to have the trait of interest. The second step is gene cloning, which will isolate the gene of interest from the entire extracted DNA, followed by mass-production of the cloned gene in a host cell. Once it is cloned, the gene of interest is designed and packaged so that it can be controlled and properly expressed once inside the host plant. The modified gene will then be mass-produced in a host cell in order to make thousands of copies. When the gene package is ready, it can then be introduced into the cells of the plant being modified through a process called transformation. The most common methods used to introduce the gene package into plant cells include biolistic transformation (using a gene gun) or Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Once the inserted gene is stable, inherited, and expressed in subsequent generations, then the plant is considered a transgenic. Backcross breeding is the final step in the genetic engineering process, where the transgenic crop is bred and selected in order to obtain high quality plants that express the inserted gene in a desired manner.

The length of time in developing transgenic plant depends upon the gene, crop species, available resources, and regulatory approval. It may take 6-15 years before a new transgenic hybrid is ready for commercial release.

Commercially available crops improved through genetic engineering

There has been a consistent increase in the global area planted to transgenic crops from 1996 to 2005. About 90 M ha was planted in 2005 to transgenic crops with high market value, such as herbicide tolerant soybean, maize, cotton, and canola; insect resistant maize, cotton, potato, and rice; and virus resistant squash and papaya. With genetic engineering, more than one trait can be incorporated into a plant. Transgenic crops with combined traits are also available commercially. These include herbicide tolerant and insect resistant maize and cotton.

New and future initiatives in crop genetic engineering

To date, commercial GM crops have delivered benefits in crop production, but there are also a number of products in the pipeline which will make more direct contributions to food

quality, environmental benefits, pharmaceutical production, and non-food crops. Examples of these products include: rice with higher levels of iron and b-carotene (an important micronutrient which is converted to vitamin A in the body); long life banana that ripens faster on the tree and can therefore be harvested earlier; maize with improved feed value; tomatoes with high levels of flavonols, which are powerful antioxidants; drought tolerant maize; maize with improved phosphorus availability; arsenic-tolerant plants; edible vaccines from fruit and vegetables; and low lignin trees for paper making.

Genetically Modified Crops

Global agriculture finds itself engrossed in a heated debate over genetically modified (GM) crops. This debate, which features science, economics, politics, and even religion, is taking place almost everywhere. It is going on in research labs, corporate boardrooms, legislative chambers, newspaper editorial offices, religious institutions, schools, supermarkets, coffee shops, and even in private homes. What is all the fuss



about and why do people feel so strongly about this issue? This Pocket “K” attempts to shed light on the controversy by addressing several basic questions about GM crops.

Why make GM crops?

Traditionally, a plant breeder tries to exchange genes between two plants to produce offspring that have desired traits. This is done by transferring the male (pollen) of one plant to the female organ of another.

This cross breeding, however, is limited to exchanges between the same or very closely related species. It can also take a long time to achieve desired results and frequently, characteristics of interest do not exist in any related species.

GM technology enables plant breeders to bring together in one plant useful genes from a wide range of living sources, not just from within the crop species or from closely related plants. This powerful tool allows plant breeders to do faster what they have been doing for years—generate superior plant varieties—although it expands the possibilities beyond the limits imposed by conventional plant breeding.

Who produces GM crops?

Most of the research on GM crops has been carried out in developed countries, mainly in North America and Western Europe.

Recently, however, many developing countries have also established the capacity for genetic engineering.

In developed countries, the new life sciences companies have dominated the application of GM technology to agriculture. These include Bayer CropScience, Dow AgroSciences, DuPont/Pioneer, Monsanto, and Syngenta.

What is a GM crop?

A GM or transgenic crop is a plant that has a novel combination of genetic material obtained through the use of modern biotechnology.

For example, a GM crop can contain a gene(s) that has been artificially inserted instead of the plant acquiring it through pollination.

The resulting plant is said to be “genetically modified” although in reality all crops have been “genetically modified” from their original wild state by domestication, selection, and controlled breeding over long periods of time.



Where are GM crops currently grown?



In 1994, Calgene’s delayed-ripening tomato (Flavr-Savr™) became the first genetically modified food crop to be produced and consumed in an industrialized country. Since then several countries have contributed to more than a 47-fold increase in the global area of transgenic crops.

The area planted to GM crops shot up from 1.7 million hectares in 1996 to 90 million hectares in 2005, with an increasing proportion grown by developing countries. In 2005, there were 14 biotech mega-countries, growing 50,000 hectares or more, 10 developing countries and four industrial countries; they were, in order of hectarage, USA, Argentina,

Brazil, Canada, China, Paraguay, India, South Africa, Uruguay, Australia, Mexico, Romania, Philippines, and Spain (James, 2005).

What are the potential benefits of GM plants?

In the developed world, there is clear evidence that the use of GM crops has resulted in significant benefits. These include:

- Higher crop yields
- Reduced farm costs
- Increased farm profit
- Improvement in health and the environment

These “first generation” crops have proven their ability to lower farm-level production costs. Now, research is focused on “second-generation” GM crops that will feature increased nutritional and/or industrial traits. These crops will have more direct benefits to consumers.

Examples include:

- Rice enriched with iron and vitamin A
- Potatoes with higher starch content
- Edible vaccines in maize and potatoes
- Maize varieties able to grow in poor conditions
- Healthier oils from soybean and canola

How are GM crops made?

GM crops are made through a process known as genetic engineering. Genes of commercial interest are transferred from one organism to another. Two primary methods currently exist for introducing transgenes into plant genomes.

The first involves a device called a ‘gene gun.’ The DNA to be introduced into the plant cells is coated onto tiny particles. These particles are then physically shot onto plant cells. Some of the DNA comes off and is incorporated into the DNA of the recipient plant. The second method uses a bacterium to introduce the gene(s) of interest into the plant DNA.

Are GM crops appropriate for developing countries?



While most of the debate over transgenic crops has taken place mainly in the developed nations in the North, the South stands to benefit from any technology that can increase food production, lower food prices, and improve food quality.

In countries where there is often not enough food to go around and where food prices directly affect the incomes of majority of the population, the potential benefits of GM crops cannot be ignored. It is true that nutritionally enhanced foods may not be a necessity in developed countries but they could play a key role in helping to alleviate malnutrition in developing countries.

Although the potential benefits of GM crops are large in developing countries, they would require some investments. Most developing countries lack the scientific capacity to assess the biosafety of GM crops, the economic expertise to evaluate their worth, the regulatory capacity to implement guidelines for safe deployment, and the legal systems to enforce and punish transgressions in law. Fortunately, several organizations are working to build local capacity to manage the acquisition, deployment, and monitoring of GM crops.

What are the potential risks of GM plants?

With every new emerging technology, there are potential risks. These include:

- The danger of unintentionally introducing allergens and other antinutrition factors in foods
- The likelihood of transgenes escaping from cultivated crops into wild relatives
- The potential for pests to evolve resistance to the toxins produced by GM crops
- The risk of these toxins affecting nontarget organisms.

Where legislation and regulatory institutions are in place, there are elaborate steps to precisely avoid or mitigate these risks. It is the obligation of the technology innovators (i.e., scientists), producers, and the government to assure the public of the safety of the novel foods that they offer as well as their benign effect on the environment.

There are also those risks that are neither caused nor preventable by the technology itself. An example of this type of risk is the further widening of the economic gap between developed countries (technology users) versus developing countries (nonusers). These

risks, however, can be managed by developing technologies tailor made for the needs of the poor and by instituting measures so that the poor will have access to the new technologies.

Plant products of biotechnology

Plant products of biotechnology have been available in the market for some time now. These modified crops look like their traditional counterparts, but they possess special characteristics that make them better.

These crops benefit both farmers and consumers. Farmers gain higher crop yields and have increased flexibility in management practices, while consumers have “healthier crops” (i.e., crops grown with fewer pesticides and/or with healthier nutritional characteristics).

Plant products of biotechnology approved for food use have been modified to contain traits such as:

- Disease resistance
- Herbicide tolerance
- Altered nutritional profile
- Enhanced storage life

Biotech Soybean

Soybean is the oil crop of greatest economic relevance in the world. Its beans contain proportionally more essential amino acids than meat, thus making it one of the most important food crops today.



Herbicide-tolerant soybean

Herbicide-tolerant soybean varieties contain a gene that provides resistance to one of two broad-spectrum, environmentally benign herbicides.

This modified soybean provides better weed control and reduces crop injury. It also improves farm efficiency by optimizing yield, using arable land more efficiently, saving time for the farmer, and increasing the flexibility of crop rotation. It also encourages the adoption of no-till farming-an important part of soil conservation practice.

These varieties are the same as other soybeans in nutrition, composition, and the way they are processed into food and feed. * *Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, EU, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Philippines, Russia, South Africa, Switzerland, Taiwan, UK, US, and Uruguay.*

Oleic acid soybean

This modified soybean contains high levels of oleic acid, a monounsaturated fat. According to health nutritionists, monounsaturated fats are considered “good” fats, compared with saturated fats found in beef, pork, hard cheeses, and other dairy products.

Oil processed from these varieties is similar to that of peanut and olive oils. Conventional soybeans have an oleic acid content of 24%. These new varieties have an oleic acid content that exceeds 80%. * *Australia, Canada, Japan, and the US.*

Examples of plant products of biotechnology

Product	Trait
Canola	Herbicide tolerance
Canola	Modified fatty acid content
Cotton	Insect resistance
Cotton	Herbicide tolerance
Flax, Linseed	Insect resistance & herbicide tolerance
Lentil	Herbicide tolerance
Maize	Herbicide tolerance
Maize	Insect resistance & herbicide tolerance
Maize	Herbicide tolerance & male sterility
Maize	Herbicide tolerance & fertility restored
Maize	Modified amino acid content
Melon	Delayed ripening
Papaya	Virus resistance
Potato	Insect resistance
Potato	Insect & virus resistance
Rice	Herbicide tolerance
Soybean	Herbicide tolerance

Soybean	Modified fatty acid content
Squash	Virus resistance
Sugar Beet	Herbicide tolerance
Tomato	Delayed ripening
Tomato	Insect resistance
Wheat	Herbicide tolerance
Wheat	Herbicide tolerance

*Source: <http://www.agbios.com>

Biotech Corn

Corn is one of the three most important grains of the world.



Herbicide-tolerant corn

These corn varieties work in a similar manner to herbicide-tolerant soybean. They allow growers better flexibility in using certain herbicides to control weeds that can damage crops. * *Argentina, Australia, Canada, China, European Union (EU), Japan, Korea, Philippines, South Africa, Switzerland, and the US.*

Insect-resistant corn

This modified corn contains a built-in insecticidal protein from a naturally occurring soil microorganism (Bt) that gives corn plants season-long protection from corn borers. The Bt protein has been used safely as an organic insect control agent for over 40 years. This means most farmers do not have to spray insecticide to protect corn from harmful pests, which can cause significant damage and yield loss in many areas. Bt corn also reduces toxin contamination arising from fungal attack on the damaged grain. * *Argentina, Australia, Canada, China, EU, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Philippines, Russia, South Africa, Switzerland, Taiwan, UK, US, and Uruguay.*

Biotech Canola

Canola is a genetic variation of rapeseed and was developed by Canadian plant breeders specifically for its nutritional qualities, particularly its low level of saturated fat.



Herbicide-tolerant canola

Herbicide-tolerant canola works in a manner similar to other such crops. For benefits, see herbicide-tolerant soybean. * *Australia, Canada, EU, Japan, Philippines, and the US.*

High laurate canola

These canola varieties contain high levels of laurate. Oil processed from these novel varieties is similar to coconut and palm oils.

This new canola oil is being sold to the food industry for use in chocolate candy coatings, coffee whiteners, icings, frostings, and whipped toppings. Benefits extend even to the cosmetics industry. * *Canada and the US.*

Oleic acid canola

This new type of canola contains high levels of oleic acid. For benefits, see oleic acid soybean. * *Canada.*

Biotech Cotton

Herbicide-tolerant cotton

This cotton works in a manner similar to other such crops. For benefits, see herbicide-tolerant soybean. * *Argentina, Australia, Canada, Japan, Mexico, Philippines, and the US.*



Insect-resistant cotton

This modified cotton works in a manner similar to insect-resistant corn. It contains a protein that provides the plant with season-long protection from budworms and bollworms. The need for additional insecticide applications for these pests is reduced or eliminated. * *Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Japan, Mexico, Philippines, South Africa, and the US. (Approved for planting in India.)*

Dominant GM crops in the World, 2005	
Crops	M/ha (million hectares)
Herbicide tolerant soybean	54.4
Bt maize	11.3
Bt/Herbicide tolerant maize	6.5
Bt cotton	4.9
Herbicide tolerant canola	4.6
Bt/Herbicide tolerant cotton	3.6
Herbicide tolerant maize	3.4

Herbicide tolerant cotton	1.3
Total	90.0
*Million hectares James, C. 2005. Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2005. ISAAA Briefs No. 34. ISAAA: Ithaca, NY.	

Biotech Potato

Insect-resistant potato

This biotech potato works like insect-resistant corn. It contains a protein that provides the plant with built-in protection from the Colorado potato beetle. Thus, this potato needs no additional protection for this pest, benefiting farmers, consumers, and the environment. **Australia, Canada, Japan, Philippines, and the US.*



Virus-resistant potato

Several potato varieties have been modified to resist potato leafroll virus (PLRV) and potato virus Y (PVY). In the same way that people get inoculations to prevent disease, these potato varieties are protected through biotechnology from certain viruses. Furthermore, virus resistance often results in reduced insecticide use, which is needed to control insect vectors that transmit viruses. **Australia, Canada, Philippines, and the US.*

Biotech Squash

Virus-resistant squash

A biotech yellow crookneck squash is now able to resist watermelon mosaic virus (WMV) and zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV). These new varieties contain the coat protein genes of both viruses. This biotech approach bypasses aphid control, which may reduce or eliminate the use of insecticides. **Canada and US.*



Biotech Potato

Delayed-ripening tomato

The delayed-ripening tomato became the first genetically modified food crop to be produced in a developed country. These tomato



varieties have extended shelf life. They contain a gene that slows the natural softening process that accompanies ripening.

These tomatoes spend more days on the vine than other tomatoes, thus resulting in better flavor. Furthermore, the longer shelf life has commercial advantages in harvesting and shipping that can reduce the costs of production, **Canada, Japan, Mexico, and the US.*

Biotech papaya

Virus-resistant papaya

This Hawaiian-developed papaya contains a viral gene that encodes for the coat protein of papaya ringspot virus (PRSV). This protein provides the papaya plant with built-in protection against PRSV. This biotech papaya works in a manner similar to virus resistant potato.



Questions

1. Bar gene conferring resistance to bialaphos and phosphinotricin was isolated from.....

- a). ***Streptomyces hygrosopicers***
- b). *Bacillus thuringiensis*
- c). *Bacillus subtilis*
- d). None of the above

2. Flavr-Savr™ is the GM variety of

- a). **Tomato**
- b). Potato
- c). Soyabean
- d). Aonla

3. Flavr-Savr™, the GM variety of tomato has property

- a). **Delayed ripening**
- b). Resistance to fruit borer
- c). Resistant to leaf miner
- d). None of the above

4. The potential benefits of GM crops are

- a). Higher crop yields
- b). Reduced farm costs
- c). Increased farm profit
- d). **All the above**

5. The potential hazards of GM crops are

- a). The danger of unintentionally introducing allergens and other antinutrition factors in foods
- b). The likelihood of transgenes escaping from cultivated crops into wild relatives
- c). The potential for pests to evolve resistance to the toxins produced by GM crops
- d). **All the above**